

## Doctoral Program

№	Question	1	2	3	4	5
		strongly disagree				strongly agree
1.	Course rules (i.e., objectives, structure, grading system) were properly explained and consistent throughout the course					
2.	Course was well structured					
3.	Interactive learning techniques (i.e., individual and group tasks, student presentations and discussions, etc.) were effectively applied in the course (the discussions were dynamic, interactive, involving)					
4.	Different forms of knowledge control (home assignments, tests, class discussions, presentations, etc.) were used throughout the course					
5.	Study materials (i.e., course readings, software, problem sets, assignments) comply with doctoral level of the program and contributed to understanding of the course topics					
6.	Instructor(s) explained material in interactive and clear manner					
7.	Instructor(s) was/were accessible/approachable to students (responded effectively to questions and expressions of opinion, gave constructive feedback on assignments)					
8.	The course calendar was accurately followed (assignments' deadlines and the tests' schedule are met, evaluations and feedback are provided in due time)					
9.	The course in general can be evaluated as excellent					

Please comment on the course's strengths and weaknesses, how challenging you found the course, in terms of the workload, the standards? What can be improved in the course?

Please comment on the instructor's strengths and weaknesses, effectiveness in holding students accountable for their preparation, participation and performance.